

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	iO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/635,070	35,070 08/09/2000		Steve G. Driediger	98006-4	5644	
23553	7590	03/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MARKS & CLERK				DUONG,	DUONG, FRANK	
P.O. BOX	K 957					
STATIO	N B			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
OTTAW	A, ON KI	P 5S7	2666			
CANADA	A					
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/635,070	DRIEDIGER ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Frank Duong	2666				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 21 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by</li> </ol>	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, val fee) in compliance with 37 CFR ereply must be filed within one of tog date of the final rejection.	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque he following time peri	ication in est for Continued iods:			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	ion.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Apperox was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)) must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO					
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful and for appeals and for</li> </ul>	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: Please see continuation!. (See 37 CFR 1.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	•	•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-8 and 12-20</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,9-11,21 and 22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: *						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A 1 - 6	. e e. A 1 10				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does ino i piace trie application il	ii condidon for allowa	nce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).				

Frank Duong
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2666

Continuation: The proposed amendment fails to place the application in condition for allowance for the following reasons: It adds new limitation of "means in the network elements to derive ... master network element" in claims 9, 21-22. This would change the scope of the invention originally claimed and previously prosecuted; thus, require further consideration. It renders the dependent claims 12-20 to be improper dependent claims for these claims dependent on would-be-cancelled claim 1.

FRANK DUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER